The data on the disk is in *disk blocks*, fixed size areas on the disk, e.g., 512 or 1024 bytes



Having a fixed size allows for easy and fast allocation and deallocation



Having a fixed size allows for easy and fast allocation and deallocation

This is similar to pages in memory; but now physical location of blocks *is* important as discs are mechanical devices



Having a fixed size allows for easy and fast allocation and deallocation

This is similar to pages in memory; but now physical location of blocks *is* important as discs are mechanical devices

Whole numbers of blocks are always allocated to files



Having a fixed size allows for easy and fast allocation and deallocation

This is similar to pages in memory; but now physical location of blocks *is* important as discs are mechanical devices

Whole numbers of blocks are always allocated to files

This can lead to wastage, e.g., a 1025 byte file might need two blocks, but uses just over half of the space. Though there are lot of tricks in real filesystems to avoid the worst of this



An inode is of fixed size and has space for, say, 10 block pointers



But then you can't have files bigger than  $10 \times 1024 = 10$ KB



So for such files we have an *indirect block*, that contains a pointer to an array of 256, say, block pointers



This gives us 256 more blocks, which is 256KB more space



Bigger files have a *double indirect block* 



This gives us  $256\times256=65536$  more blocks, 65MB more space



Extreme files need a triple indirect block



This takes us to 16 million blocks, 16GB more space



Now every indirect block is overhead occupying space on the disk that could otherwise be storing data



Now every indirect block is overhead occupying space on the disk that could otherwise be storing data

But this is not so wasteful as you might think as most files are quite small; the overhead for large files is relatively small, too



Caching the inode and the indirect blocks in memory helps reduce the lookup overhead



Caching the inode and the indirect blocks in memory helps reduce the lookup overhead

The space for the pointers is used for various other things when the inode refers to something other than a disk file

For example, a *soft link* (similar to a Windows *shortcut*) to a file or directory

For example, a *soft link* (similar to a Windows *shortcut*) to a file or directory

This is a special inode whose purpose is to say "don't look at me, look at this file instead"

For example, a *soft link* (similar to a Windows *shortcut*) to a file or directory

This is a special inode whose purpose is to say "don't look at me, look at this file instead"

If you had a soft link named foo that linked to bar its content would be just the name "bar"

For example, a *soft link* (similar to a Windows *shortcut*) to a file or directory

This is a special inode whose purpose is to say "don't look at me, look at this file instead"

If you had a soft link named foo that linked to bar its content would be just the name "bar"

But the action of the OS when a program opens foo is not to present the data "bar", but to close inode foo and open inode named by bar instead

For example, a *soft link* (similar to a Windows *shortcut*) to a file or directory

This is a special inode whose purpose is to say "don't look at me, look at this file instead"

If you had a soft link named foo that linked to bar its content would be just the name "bar"

But the action of the OS when a program opens foo is not to present the data "bar", but to close inode foo and open inode named by bar instead

In effect, this is another way for files to have multiple names, but it is very different from normal multiple names, called *hard links* 





The soft link might point to a place where there is no file; a hard link *is* the file



The soft link might point to a place where there is no file; a hard link *is* the file

And, as there are no inode references involved in a soft link, it can be the name of any file on any filesystem in the machine



The soft link might point to a place where there is no file; a hard link *is* the file

And, as there are no inode references involved in a soft link, it can be the name of any file on any filesystem in the machine

Note: a hard link refers to the file, while a soft link refers to a *name* of the file. So a hard link is a name, while a soft link is a name of a name



Use  ${\tt ls}\ {\tt -li}$  to see the link details and inode number of a file under Unix

```
% ln -s somefile link1
% ls -li link1
3154340 lrwxrwxrwx 2 rjb users 6 2010-04-22 10:38 lin
k1 -> somefile
% ln link1 link2
% ls -li link*
3154340 lrwxrwxrwx 2 rjb users 6 2010-04-22 10:38 lin
k1 -> somefile
3154340 lrwxrwxrwx 2 rjb users 6 2010-04-22 10:38 lin
k2 -> somefile
```