



The word process is used to describe

- the executable code and
- its data and
- the associated information the OS needs to run it



The word process is used to describe

- the executable code and
- its data and
- the associated information the OS needs to run it

Note this is different from processor and program



The word *process* is used to describe

- the executable code and
- its data and
- the associated information the OS needs to run it

Note this is different from processor and program

Other words: task, job



A single program might possibly use more than one process

A single program might possibly use more than one process

For example, one process to compute a picture and another to display it: this is called *structure by process*

A single program might possibly use more than one process

For example, one process to compute a picture and another to display it: this is called *structure by process*

Though this is perhaps the exception, these days. Quite often a program uses just one process

A single program might possibly use more than one process

For example, one process to compute a picture and another to display it: this is called *structure by process*

Though this is perhaps the exception, these days. Quite often a program uses just one process

And structuring can be done by using multiple *threads of execution*, often running in parallel

A single program might possibly use more than one process

For example, one process to compute a picture and another to display it: this is called *structure by process*

Though this is perhaps the exception, these days. Quite often a program uses just one process

And structuring can be done by using multiple *threads of execution*, often running in parallel

But it is coming back in Web browsers using one process per tab to provide security isolation between tabs

A single program might possibly use more than one process

For example, one process to compute a picture and another to display it: this is called *structure by process*

Though this is perhaps the exception, these days. Quite often a program uses just one process

And structuring can be done by using multiple *threads of execution*, often running in parallel

But it is coming back in Web browsers using one process per tab to provide security isolation between tabs

Note to think about later: Web browsers use OS process protection and isolation mechanisms to provide tab protection and isolation

An OS needs to keep a lots of information about a process, including

• where in memory its code is

- · where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)
- how much time it is allocated

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)
- how much time it is allocated
- how much time it has used

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)
- how much time it is allocated
- how much time it has used
- similarly for other shared resources, e.g., the amount of I/O or networking done

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)
- how much time it is allocated
- how much time it has used
- similarly for other shared resources, e.g., the amount of I/O or networking done
- the cpu's PC and registers

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)
- how much time it is allocated
- how much time it has used
- similarly for other shared resources, e.g., the amount of I/O or networking done
- the cpu's PC and registers
- and lots more as we shall see later

An OS needs to keep a lots of information about a process, including

- where in memory its code is
- where in memory its data is
- what permissions it has on those parts of memory (MMU flags)
- how much time it is allocated
- how much time it has used
- similarly for other shared resources, e.g., the amount of I/O or networking done
- the cpu's PC and registers
- and lots more as we shall see later

It uses this information to schedule and protect the process



A process can be in one of several *states*. In a simplified model, the five main states are

1. New. A process that has just been created

- 1. New. A process that has just been created
- 2. Running. It is currently executing on the CPU

- 1. New. A process that has just been created
- 2. Running. It is currently executing on the CPU
- 3. Ready. It is ready to run, but not currently running as some other process is currently using the CPU

- 1. New. A process that has just been created
- 2. Running. It is currently executing on the CPU
- 3. Ready. It is ready to run, but not currently running as some other process is currently using the CPU
- 4. Blocked. Can't run right now as it is waiting for some event or resource to become available. E.g., waiting for a block of data to arrive from the disk

- 1. New. A process that has just been created
- 2. Running. It is currently executing on the CPU
- 3. Ready. It is ready to run, but not currently running as some other process is currently using the CPU
- 4. Blocked. Can't run right now as it is waiting for some event or resource to become available. E.g., waiting for a block of data to arrive from the disk
- 5. Exit. A process that has finished

A process can be in one of several *states*. In a simplified model, the five main states are

- 1. New. A process that has just been created
- 2. Running. It is currently executing on the CPU
- 3. Ready. It is ready to run, but not currently running as some other process is currently using the CPU
- 4. Blocked. Can't run right now as it is waiting for some event or resource to become available. E.g., waiting for a block of data to arrive from the disk
- 5. Exit. A process that has finished

Real OSs will have more states than this, but these are the important ones



We shall assume, for simplicity, that we have just one processor



We shall assume, for simplicity, that we have just one processor

The OS will have sets of processes in each state, so the scheduling decision is making the choice of which process to move between which states



We shall assume, for simplicity, that we have just one processor

The OS will have sets of processes in each state, so the scheduling decision is making the choice of which process to move between which states

In real OSs, these sets will will not be simple lists. They might be arranged in priority order, or might be some more sophisticated datastructure: e.g., a pair of lists, one for real-time processes and the other for non-real-time; or a tree

Example: in Unixes, processes are arranged in trees

```
systemd-+-ModemManager---2*[{ModemManager}]
[-NetworkManager---2*[{NetworkManager}]
[-Thunar---3*[{Thunar}]
[-accounts-daemon---2*[{accounts-daemon}]
|-agetty
l-atd
|-auditd---{auditd}
l-avahi-daemon
-chrome-+-2*[cat]
         |-chrome-+-chrome---12*[{chrome}]
                            |-chrome---19*[{chrome}]
                            |-3*[chrome---11*[{chrome}]]
                            |-chrome---15*[{chrome}]
                            l-chrome---17*[{chrome}]
                            |-chrome---16*[{chrome}]
                            |-chrome---10*[{chrome}]
                            '-chrome---23*[{chrome}]
                   '-nacl helper
          -chrome-+-chrome
                  '-7*[{chrome}]
```



Trees allow easy manipulation of whole bunches of (usually related) processes in a simple way



So we have these five main states: New, Ready, Running, Blocked and Exit, and a process will be moved by the OS between them



So we have these five main states: New, Ready, Running, Blocked and Exit, and a process will be moved by the OS between them

A new process will begin in the state New

So we have these five main states: New, Ready, Running, Blocked and Exit, and a process will be moved by the OS between them

A new process will begin in the state New

A process just finished will be in the state Exit

So we have these five main states: New, Ready, Running, Blocked and Exit, and a process will be moved by the OS between them

A new process will begin in the state New

A process just finished will be in the state Exit

In between the OS must decide, as part of its scheduling, where to place each process
So we have these five main states: New, Ready, Running, Blocked and Exit, and a process will be moved by the OS between them

A new process will begin in the state New

A process just finished will be in the state Exit

In between the OS must decide, as part of its scheduling, where to place each process

There is a standard *finite state machine* that describes the allowed transitions between states







A typical transition is

1. The OS decides to schedule a process on the ready list



- 1. The OS decides to schedule a process on the ready list
- 2. The process is *dispatched*, i.e., the OS marks its state as running and starts executing it (jump and drop privilege)

- 1. The OS decides to schedule a process on the ready list
- 2. The process is *dispatched*, i.e., the OS marks its state as running and starts executing it (jump and drop privilege)
- 3. The process may choose to voluntarily suspend itself: *relinquish* (e.g., a clock program displaying the time might suspend itself for a minute)

- 1. The OS decides to schedule a process on the ready list
- 2. The process is *dispatched*, i.e., the OS marks its state as running and starts executing it (jump and drop privilege)
- 3. The process may choose to voluntarily suspend itself: *relinquish* (e.g., a clock program displaying the time might suspend itself for a minute)
- 4. Or an interrupt may arise, e.g., from a packet arriving on the network card, or a key being hit on the keyboard

- 1. The OS decides to schedule a process on the ready list
- 2. The process is *dispatched*, i.e., the OS marks its state as running and starts executing it (jump and drop privilege)
- 3. The process may choose to voluntarily suspend itself: *relinquish* (e.g., a clock program displaying the time might suspend itself for a minute)
- 4. Or an interrupt may arise, e.g., from a packet arriving on the network card, or a key being hit on the keyboard
- 5. Or a timer interrupt may arise. In any of these three cases the OS moves the process to the Ready state

 Or the running process may need some resource the OS must supply (e.g., for disk access) so it does a syscall and must wait until the resource is ready (e.g., the disk returns some data); the OS moves it to Blocked

- Or the running process may need some resource the OS must supply (e.g., for disk access) so it does a syscall and must wait until the resource is ready (e.g., the disk returns some data); the OS moves it to Blocked
- 7. In the case of a blocked process, perhaps data has returned from the disk and the process can *wake up* and become Ready again. Note that the process won't necessarily start running immediately, it is just ready to run when it gets its chance

- Or the running process may need some resource the OS must supply (e.g., for disk access) so it does a syscall and must wait until the resource is ready (e.g., the disk returns some data); the OS moves it to Blocked
- 7. In the case of a blocked process, perhaps data has returned from the disk and the process can *wake up* and become Ready again. Note that the process won't necessarily start running immediately, it is just ready to run when it gets its chance

And to make it clear: it's not the processes moving themselves between the states, it's the OS moving them between the sets of processes in each state



Remember, early OSs without timer interrupts had to rely on processes relinquishing control every once in a while: cooperative multitasking



Remember, early OSs without timer interrupts had to rely on processes relinquishing control every once in a while: cooperative multitasking

Even though we can now have preemptive multitasking, processes may wish to relinquish voluntarily



Remember, early OSs without timer interrupts had to rely on processes relinquishing control every once in a while: cooperative multitasking

Even though we can now have preemptive multitasking, processes may wish to relinquish voluntarily

Exercise Write a program that voluntarily relinquishes occasionally



New and Exit states happen just once per process



New and Exit states happen just once per process

 New. For a process just created, perhaps code and data are not yet loaded into memory. The OS datastructures needed to manage the process must be created and filled in

New and Exit states happen just once per process

- New. For a process just created, perhaps code and data are not yet loaded into memory. The OS datastructures needed to manage the process must be created and filled in
- Exit. For a process that has just finished. Some tidying up is usually needed after a process ends, such as closing files or reclaiming memory or other resources it used

A real example:

USER	PID	PPID	PRI	%CPU	%MEM	STAT	TIME	COMMAND
rjb	3974	4831	22	0.0	0.1	R+	00:00:00	ps
rjb	4495	4831	24	0.0	2.0	S	00:01:11	emacs
rjb	4538	4530	23	0.0	0.2	Ss+	00:00:00	bash
rjb	4540	4534	24	0.0	0.2	Ss	00:00:00	bash
rjb	4664	4556	21	0.0	0.6	S+	00:00:08	pine
rjb	4831	4829	24	0.0	0.2	Ss+	00:00:00	bash
rjb	7839	4831	15	0.0	0.1	Ss	00:00:00	firefox
rjb	7851	7839	14	0.0	0.1	S	00:00:00	run-mozilla.sh
rjb	7856	7851	24	0.2	16.6	S1	00:31:47	firefox-bin
rjb	14880	1	16	0.0	3.1	Dsl	00:06:43	recollindex

Example processes under Linux



- S. Sleeping: like blocked (interruptible sleep; waiting for an event like a timer or other interrupt)
- D. Disk wait (uninterruptible sleep; waiting for requested I/O)
- R. Running or ready to run
- It is hard to catch new and exiting processes

(s: session leader; +: foreground process group; l: multithreaded)





• User. The user who owns the process



- User. The user who owns the process
- PRI. Priority. In Linux, priorities are integers, larger indicates less important



- User. The user who owns the process
- PRI. Priority. In Linux, priorities are integers, larger indicates less important
- PID. Process identifier. An integer that uniquely identifies this process

- User. The user who owns the process
- PRI. Priority. In Linux, priorities are integers, larger indicates less important
- PID. Process identifier. An integer that uniquely identifies this process
- PPID. Parent PID. The PID of the process that started this process. This allows processes to be grouped in trees. Process number 1 is the parent of all processes

- User. The user who owns the process
- PRI. Priority. In Linux, priorities are integers, larger indicates less important
- PID. Process identifier. An integer that uniquely identifies this process
- PPID. Parent PID. The PID of the process that started this process. This allows processes to be grouped in trees. Process number 1 is the parent of all processes
- CPU, MEM, TIME. How much of these resources this process is using





So we can see some more of the information that a process needs to collect and maintain:

• User identifiers (userids)

- User identifiers (userids)
- A priority

- User identifiers (userids)
- A priority
- Statistics like memory and CPU used

- User identifiers (userids)
- A priority
- Statistics like memory and CPU used
- The scheduling state

So we can see some more of the information that a process needs to collect and maintain:

- User identifiers (userids)
- A priority
- Statistics like memory and CPU used
- The scheduling state

But there are still more that will become clearer as we go along

So we can see some more of the information that a process needs to collect and maintain:

- User identifiers (userids)
- A priority
- Statistics like memory and CPU used
- The scheduling state

But there are still more that will become clearer as we go along

This collection of data a process needs is called the *process control block*, or PCB



To pause and restart a process (e.g., on an interrupt) requires the saving and restoring of all the running process' state: CPU registers, stack pointers, MMU flags, etc.



To pause and restart a process (e.g., on an interrupt) requires the saving and restoring of all the running process' state: CPU registers, stack pointers, MMU flags, etc.

This will also be stored in the PCB



To pause and restart a process (e.g., on an interrupt) requires the saving and restoring of all the running process' state: CPU registers, stack pointers, MMU flags, etc.

This will also be stored in the PCB

And will be retrieved from the PCB when the process next gets scheduled to run



Process creation is quite involved


Process creation is quite involved

• Allocate and create PCB structure

- Allocate and create PCB structure
- Find a free PID

- Allocate and create PCB structure
- Find a free PID
- Determine and allocate the necessary resources (in particular memory)

- Allocate and create PCB structure
- Find a free PID
- Determine and allocate the necessary resources (in particular memory)
- Determine the initial priority of the process

- Allocate and create PCB structure
- Find a free PID
- Determine and allocate the necessary resources (in particular memory)
- Determine the initial priority of the process
- Insert PCB into the relevant kernel list of PCBs

Process creation is quite involved

- Allocate and create PCB structure
- Find a free PID
- Determine and allocate the necessary resources (in particular memory)
- Determine the initial priority of the process
- Insert PCB into the relevant kernel list of PCBs

This is what happens in the New state; it can now be moved to Ready

Process creation is quite involved

- Allocate and create PCB structure
- Find a free PID
- Determine and allocate the necessary resources (in particular memory)
- Determine the initial priority of the process
- Insert PCB into the relevant kernel list of PCBs

This is what happens in the New state; it can now be moved to Ready

Again, the process might not start running immediately, as there could be some higher priority process that must run first



Most processes are created (*forked/spawned*) by other processes: of course, only the OS can actually create processes



Most processes are created (*forked/spawned*) by other processes: of course, only the OS can actually create processes

A user process that wants a new process will ask the OS to create one (using a syscall)



Processes

Processes use resources like memory and CPU, so the OS must be involved

• A process decides it wants to start another process. E.g., a GUI process as a response to a user clicking on an icon

Processes

- A process decides it wants to start another process. E.g., a GUI process as a response to a user clicking on an icon
- It calls the OS kernel (syscall), telling it what process it want to start (e.g., "start the browser program")

- A process decides it wants to start another process. E.g., a GUI process as a response to a user clicking on an icon
- It calls the OS kernel (syscall), telling it what process it want to start (e.g., "start the browser program")
- The OS can now create a new process according to the specifications given

- A process decides it wants to start another process. E.g., a GUI process as a response to a user clicking on an icon
- It calls the OS kernel (syscall), telling it what process it want to start (e.g., "start the browser program")
- The OS can now create a new process according to the specifications given
- The new process can now be scheduled



Of course, the OS can choose not to create the new process if some policy says not to, or there is not enough memory, or some other reason



Of course, the OS can choose not to create the new process if some policy says not to, or there is not enough memory, or some other reason

In that case, the originating process usually gets a message back from the OS (via the value returned from the syscall) explaining the problem



Of course, the OS can choose not to create the new process if some policy says not to, or there is not enough memory, or some other reason

In that case, the originating process usually gets a message back from the OS (via the value returned from the syscall) explaining the problem

For example, "no permission to exec that program"



Exercise The question arises: if processes are created by other processes, how do we get started? Read about the *bootstrapping problem*