Metaobject Protocols

Structure: how are values to be stored in an object?

Metaobject Protocols

Structure: how are values to be stored in an object?

Most objects are implemented as vectors with the object accessors implemented as simple vector references

Metaobject Protocols

Structure: how are values to be stored in an object?

Most objects are implemented as vectors with the object accessors implemented as simple vector references

The generic function compute-and-ensure-slot-accessors describes how slots are accessed

Metaobject Protocols

Structure: how are values to be stored in an object?

Most objects are implemented as vectors with the object accessors implemented as simple vector references

The generic function compute-and-ensure-slot-accessors describes how slots are accessed

The standard method does a simple vector access

Metaobject Protocols

Structure: how are values to be stored in an object?

Most objects are implemented as vectors with the object accessors implemented as simple vector references

The generic function compute-and-ensure-slot-accessors describes how slots are accessed

The standard method does a simple vector access

So if you want slots that live on disk rather than in memory, or count the number of times they are accessed, add a method here

Metaobject Protocols

Classes: The generic functions allocate and initialize describe how objects are created

Metaobject Protocols

Classes: The generic functions allocate and initialize describe how objects are created

So if you want to have an object that lives outside the normal class hierarchy, or has a different structure, add methods here

Metaobject Protocols

Classes: The generic functions allocate and initialize describe how objects are created

So if you want to have an object that lives outside the normal class hierarchy, or has a different structure, add methods here

And so on

Metaobject Protocols

There are standard methods everywhere, of course, that do the "usual" things, i.e., they implement the behaviour you would expect from a normal OO language

Metaobject Protocols

There are standard methods everywhere, of course, that do the "usual" things, i.e., they implement the behaviour you would expect from a normal OO language

For example, a method on initialize that fills in a newly allocated object

```
(defmethod initialize ((cl <class>) keywords)
 (call-next-method)
 (let ((direct-supers (class-direct-superclasses cl))
       (direct-slotds (find-keyword :direct-slots keywords ()))
       (direct-inits (find-keyword :direct-keywords keywords ())))
   (unless (compatible-superclasses-p cl direct-supers)
     (error "incompatible superclasses: "%"s can not be a subclass of "%"s"
            cl direct-supers))
   (setf (class-precedence-list cl)
         (compute-class-precedence-list cl direct-supers))
   (setf (class-keywords cl)
         (compute-keywords cl direct-inits
                           (compute-inherited-keywords cl direct-supers)))
   (let* ((inherited-slotds (compute-inherited-slots cl direct-supers))
          (effective-slotds
           (compute-and-ensure-slot-accessors
            cl (compute-slots cl direct-slotds inherited-slotds)
            inherited-slotds)))
     (setf (class-slots cl) effective-slotds)
     (setf (class-instance-length cl) (length effective-slotds)))
   (mapcar #'(lambda (super)
               (add-subclass super cl)) direct-supers))
c1)
```

Metaobject Protocols

Exercise. Investigate the Metaobject class in Java

Exercise. Investigate Joose, the JavaScript Metaobject system

Exercise. Investigate Moose, the Perl Metaobject system

Metaobject Protocols

Metaobject protocols are very powerful and so are easy to abuse

Metaobject Protocols

Metaobject protocols are very powerful and so are easy to abuse

And easy to misuse accidentally

Metaobject Protocols

Metaobject protocols are very powerful and so are easy to abuse

And easy to misuse accidentally

But they do allow you to do exactly what you want in an OO system

Metaobject Protocols

Metaobject protocols are very powerful and so are easy to abuse

And easy to misuse accidentally

But they do allow you to do exactly what you want in an OO system

You are not limited by the language, only your imagination

Object Oriented languages and OO concepts are widely used

Object Oriented languages and OO concepts are widely used

There are a large number of ways of doing OO

Object Oriented languages and OO concepts are widely used There are a large number of ways of doing OO From no classes and no inheritance all the way to MOPs

Object Oriented languages and OO concepts are widely used

There are a large number of ways of doing OO

From no classes and no inheritance all the way to MOPs

You need to able to make a choice!

Object-oriented programming is an exceptionally bad idea which could only have originated in California Edsger Dijkstra

There are many languages out there, both general purpose and specialist

There are many languages out there, both general purpose and specialist

It's not a case that one language is better than another, more that one is *better for the problem in hand*

There are many languages out there, both general purpose and specialist

It's not a case that one language is better than another, more that one is *better for the problem in hand*

Be aware and learn the *concepts*, they are transferable between many languages

There are many languages out there, both general purpose and specialist

It's not a case that one language is better than another, more that one is *better for the problem in hand*

Be aware and learn the *concepts*, they are transferable between many languages

Pick the right tool for the job