Message Passing Interface

James Davenport

University of Bath

16 September 2019

An HPC isn't a faster computer, it's many computers (nodes).

- Each node (which may have multiple CPUs (typically 1 or 2), each with multiple cores) has its own memory.
- In a distributed memory machine, each node holds all variables in local memory local addressing.
- Hence, work shared across processes will require communication.
- Message passing is the context in which this communication takes place.
- So how are the nodes connected?

Interconnects Top 500 November 2018 [All19]

Infiniband: FDR = 14G, EDR=25G, but most people use four links in parallel, so 56G or 100G. Adapter latencies 0.7μ s (FDR) or 0.5μ s (EDR)

Interconnect System Share



Characterising Performance

Transferring data

- Latency start-up time
- Bandwidth (asymptotic) transfer rate (Bytes/second)



Top 3 from 500 June 2020

Computer	Cores	R _{max} TFlo	$R_{ m peak}$ p/sec	Power kW
Supercomputer Fugaku - Supercomputer Fugaku, A64FX &8C 2.2GHz, Tofu interconnect D, Fujitsu RIKEN Center for Computational Science Japan	7,299,072	415,530.0	513,854.7	28,335
Summit - IBM Power System AC922, IBM POWER9 22C 8.07GHz, NVIDIA Volta GV100, Dual-rail Mellanox EDR nfiniband, IBM DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory Jnited States	2,414,592	148,600.0	200,794.9	10,096
Sierra - IBM Power System AC922, IBM POWER9 22C 8.1GHz, NVIDIA Volta GV100, Dual-rail Mellanox EDR nfiniband, IBM / NVIDIA / Mellanox DOE/NNSA/LLNL Jnited States	1,572,480	94,640.0	125,712.0	7,438

28MW is roughly 60 wind turbines; 7299072 = 48 \times 29 \times 33 \times 11

Latency/bandwidth examples

- Tofu D: 1 double is 490ns, 1000 double is 790ns (based on 38.1 GB/sec [AKO⁺18])
- 4× EDR: latency 500ns, 80ps/byte, so 1 double is 500.64 ns; 1000 double is 1140 ns.
- I00GHz Ethernet: latency 1600ns (or more), 80ps/byte, so 1 double is 1600.64 ns; 1000 double is 2240 ns.
- I0GHz Ethernet: latency 5000ns (or more), 800ps/byte, so 1 double is 5006.4 ns; 1000 double is 11400 ns.

Note that these are "best case" figures, assuming the nodes are neighbours in whatever physical topology underpins the networking. If not, latency will certainly increase, and bandwidth may decrease depending on congestion. The fancier interconnects (Fujitsu's Tofu; Cray's Aries) have

fancier physical topology.

- Problem must be decomposed (By domain or function: if your problem is PDE, it's generally domain decomposition)
- Data distribution must be controlled.
- Local addressing implies that each core knows nothing about data on other cores. Information regarding local memory of other cores must be obtained via message passing.
- Accessing 'remote' data takes much longer than accessing local data. Hence, a major objective of HPC is to distribute data so as to minimise communication.
 - Bandwidth (amount of data being transferred)
 - Latency (time dependence on data being transferring)

Message Passing Basics

- Fundamental requirements of message passing:
 - to send data to another process
 - to receive data from another process
 - to synchronise processes
- There are a great variety of ways to do this, and substantial flexibility to control data movement.
- MPI (Message Passing Interface) [Mes15]
 - The de facto standard for writing message-passing codes.
 - Development involved virtually every parallel computing vendor.
 - The library to use if you are starting message passing
- Note that MPI and other methods (OpenMP etc.) are not mutually exclusive [AAG⁺15], but MPI sometimes handles multiple nodes better than PGAS (coarrays in Fortran) [Ash14]; [BBH⁺19] claims the opposite for UPC++.

Why is MPI the library of choice?

- Portable code
 - Implementations exist for most parallel platforms.
 - Free, portable, downloadable versions available.
- Optimal performance
 - Considerable effort has been put into optimising the performance of the library and tuning it to specific hardware platforms and interconnects.
 - This development is ongoing.
- The standard itself is also continually being refined and updated (20189 is in draft): 3.1 isn't fully 64-bit clean.
- 3.1 [Mes15], the current version, is not fully 64-bit native.
- Ś

There may be multiple implementations of MPI available, and, while functionally equivalent, performance varies unpredictably (at least I can't predict!)



Do not "mix and match"

- The same program is launched on all the processors SPMD Single Program, Multiple Data
 - But the program can do (very) different things depending on which processor it's running, so it is more general than SIMD
 - For example, in weather forecasting, some processors might be modelling the ocean, and others the atmosphere
 - well-suited to multiple independent processors

```
if condition
         { code A } // takes time t_A
  else { code B } // takes time t_B
One instance t \in \{t_A, t_B\}
      SIMD t = t_A + t_B
           ! A processors idle during t<sub>B</sub>, vice versa
     SPMD t = \max(t_A, t_B)
         So try to match t_A, t_B
Very conditional code and SIMD don't go well together
```

- All MPI names have an MPI_ prefix
- In Fortran, all characters in the name are capitals (although the language is not case-sensitive).
- In C/C++, which are case-sensitive, defined constants have all capital letters and defined types and functions have one capital letter after the prefix, with the rest being lower case.
- The user program must not declare variables or functions with names beginning with the prefix MPI_ or PMPI_, which is used by the profiling interface

- By default, if an MPI call detects an error then the program will abort.
- Although rarely done in practice, it is possible to change this behaviour so that an MPI call just returns an error code, which the programmer must then check and act upon in an appropriate way.
- Ì
- Many libraries and applications do not check for error codes, and assume the default.

```
/* In C or C++, include the header file. */
#include <mpi.h>
```

! In Fortran, always use the MPI module if one is !available on your system. An MPI-2 compliant ! implementation should provide one. USE MPI ! Otherwise, include the FORTRAN header file. include 'mpif.h'

- Exactly how a multiple processor job is initialized is environment dependent (mpirun inside sbatch).
- MPI provides two functions interfacing with start-up and shutdown.

MPI_Init

MPI_Finalize

• Note that these calls do not start up or shutdown the processes themselves but the MPI environment which allows them to communicate. All the processes start when the job is launched.

```
/* C and C++ startup/shutdown routines */
int MPI_Init(int *argc, char ***argv);
/* Note the extra * here */
int MPI_Finalize();
```

```
! FORTRAN startup and shutdown
SUBROUTINE MPI_INIT(IERROR)
INTEGER :: IERROR
```

SUBROUTINE MPI_FINALIZE(IERROR) INTEGER :: IERROR

Fortan routines must always have ERROR, C doesn't need it if you're not checking.

- These definitions are essential to any MPI code as the mechanism by which the programmer gets different processes to perform different tasks or work on different data.
- The size is the number of processes. The number of processes with which to run a job is normally specified at runtime (sbatch or whatever).
- The rank is a unique integer associated with each process:

 $0 \leq \mathsf{rank} \leq \mathsf{size} - 1$

• Strictly speaking, these definitions of rank and size should say the rank within and size of the group of processes associated with a given communicator.

- A communicator is an MPI variable which must be associated with a group of processes for communication to take place within that group. Of type INTEGER in Fortran and MPI_Comm in C/C++.
- There are two predefined communicators:
- MPI_COMM_WORLD

Associated with all processes

MPI_COMM_SELF

Associated with an individual process only; rarely useful

Finding out the size/rank

- The function MPI_Comm_size reports the size.
 - The first argument is the communicator.
- In C/C++,

int MPI_Comm_size(MPI_Comm comm, int *size);

And in Fortran,

SUBROUTINE MPI_COMM_SIZE(COMM, SIZE, IERROR) INTEGER :: COMM, SIZE, IERROR

- The function MPI_Comm_rank is used to establish the rank of a process
 - an integer in the range [0,size-1]

/* Could someone please tell me who I am? */
int MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_Comm comm, int *rank);

SUBROUTINE MPI_COMM_RANK(COMM, RANK, IERROR) INTEGER :: COMM, RANK, IERROR

- Include the appropriate header file or module
- Initialise the MPI environment using MPI_Init
- Each MPI process must find out the total number of processes using MPI_Comm_size
- Each MPI process must find out its own unique rank using MPI_Comm_rank
 - * Now we can do the actual work
- Shutdown the MPI environment using MPI_Finalize

```
#include <mpi.h>
```

```
int main(int argc, char ** argv){
    int size, rank;
```

```
MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &size);
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
```

/* the body of the code goes here */

```
MPI_Finalize();
}
```

```
PROGRAM basic_MPI_template
USE MPI
IMPLICIT NONE
INTEGER :: ierr, rank, size
```

```
CALL MPI_INIT(ierr)
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, rank, ierr)
CALL MPI_COMM_SIZE(MPI_COMM_WORLD, size, ierr)
```

! the body of the code goes here

```
CALL MPI_FINALIZE(ierr)
END PROGRAM basic_MPI_template
```

Hello, world (abbreviated) I

```
# include <cstdlib>
# include <ctime>
# include <iomanip>
# include <iostream>
# include <mpi.h>
int main ( int argc, char *argv[] );
{ int id, ierr, p;
  double wtime;
  ierr = MPI_Init ( &argc, &argv );
  if ( ierr != 0 )
  { cout << "HELLO_MPI - Fatal error!\n";</pre>
    cout << " MPI_Init returned nonzero ierr.\n";
    exit (1): }
  ierr = MPI_Comm_size ( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &p );
  ierr = MPI_Comm_rank ( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &id );
```

Hello, world (abbreviated) II

```
if ( id == 0 )
{ cout << "P" << id << ": HELLO_MPI - Master process:\n
  cout << "P" << id << ":
                              The number of processes is
if ( id == 0 )
{ wtime = MPI_Wtime ( ); }
cout << "P" << id << ": 'Hello, world!'\n";</pre>
if ( id == 0 )
{ wtime = MPI_Wtime ( ) - wtime;
  cout << "P" << id << ": Elapsed wall clock time = "</pre>
MPI_Finalize ( );
if ( id == 0 )
{ cout << "P" << id << ": HELLO_MPI:\n";</pre>
  cout << "P" << id << ": Normal end of execution.\n"</pre>
return 0;
```

```
}
```

Point-to-point communication

There are two modes of communication used in MPI

• One process sending data to one other process is called *point-to-point communication*.



• Communication involving a group of processes is called *collective*. We will consider this later.



Parallel Programming with MPI

The standard type of point-to-point communication in MPI is *two-sided communication*.

- This means that both the sender and receiver of the data need to call MPI routines, a send call and a receive call respectively, for the data to be transferred.
- For every send call, there must be a matching receive call.
- The basic calls for doing this are MPI_Send and MPI_Recv.
- An MPI message can be many items, but all of same data type.

MPI P2P Analogy

There's a large office block. Every worker has her own office, which she can't leave. There are also some (telepathic) messengers rushing round the building. Messengers never disturb workers.

- To Send a message, a worker writes an envelope (who to, data type and subject tag in MPI-speak), and stands at the door of her office, waiting for a messenger to come and take the envelope.
- Ś

A messenger doesn't have to take a message if there is no receiver waiting for it.

- To Recv a message, a worker stands at the door of her office, waiting for a messenger to come and deliver the sort of message she is expecting.
- She can say "from any" etc.
- Workers standing at the door don't do any useful work!
- Workers might stand at the door until they starve to death. [deadlock]

In MPI, a message consists of:

- a data buffer
- ! of a certain size must specify
- a data type
- a sender (source)
- a receiver (destination)
- a tag

This information must be given to the corresponding send and receive calls.

The basic MPI routine for sending a message is MPI_Send.

The basic MPI routine for receiving a message is MPI_Recv.

```
int rank;
MPI_Status status;
float a[10], b[10];
. . .
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
. . .
if (rank == 0){
MPI_Send(a, 10, MPI_FLOAT, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
}
else if (rank == 1){
MPI_Recv(b, 10, MPI_FLOAT, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
}
. . .
```

You can use MPI_STATUS_IGNORE for status (more efficient, *if* that's what you want).

MPI Message — Fortran example

. . .

```
INTEGER :: rank, ierr
INTEGER :: status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
REAL, DIMENSION(10) :: a, b
. . .
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, rank, ierr)
. . .
IF (rank .EQ. 0) THEN
CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &
        ierr)
ELSE IF (rank .EQ. 1) THEN
CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &
        status, ierr)
END IF
```

You can use MPI_STATUS_IGNORE for status (more efficient, *if* that's what you want).

The data component

- The data buffer, buf, tells MPI where to find the first item of data to be sent and where to start writing the received data, i.e. the appropriate variable name.
- This argument is passed by reference in C so must be a pointer to the data.
- MPI_Send sends count data elements starting at buf.
- count may be zero, in which case no data is sent.
- MPI_Recv receives up to count data elements and places them at buf.
- The receive data buffer must be at least big enough to hold all the incoming data
- The length of a received message can be found from status with a call to MPI_Get_count.

- In a call to MPI_Send, the dest argument is the rank of the process to which the data is to be transmitted.
- Similarly, the source argument in the call to MPI_Recv is the rank of the process from which data is to be received.
- receivers can use MPI_ANY_SOURCE
- status.MPI_SOURCE is the actual source, and status.MPI_TAG the actual tag.

- As MPI does not require communicating processes to use the same representation of a datatype, it needs to keep track of possible datatypes. This facilitates:
 - parallel computations in heterogeneous environments
 - porting of parallel programs between machines using different representations of basic datatypes.
- MPI requires that all basic datatypes in FORTRAN and C have a corresponding MPI datatype.
- The programmer can construct data types for struct/type etc.

C MPI Datatypes

MPI datatype	C datatype
MPI_CHAR	signed char
MPI_SHORT	signed short int
MPI_INT	signed int
MPI_LONG	signed long int
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char
MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT	unsigned short int
MPI_UNSIGNED	unsigned int
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG	unsigned long int
MPI_FLOAT	float
MPI_DOUBLE	double
MPI_BYTE	
MPI_PACKED	

Note that whether char is signed is implementation-dependent for $C/C{++}, \mbox{ not for MPI}.$
Fortran MPI Datatypes

MPI datatype	FORTRAN datatype
MPI_INTEGER	INTEGER
MPI_REAL	REAL
MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION	DOUBLE PRECISION
MPI_COMPLEX	COMPLEX
MPI_LOGICAL	LOGICAL
MPI_CHARACTER	CHARACTER(1)
MPI_BYTE	
MPI_PACKED	

In a FORTRAN program, there might be complications if your program uses variables of non-standard size: see MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL

- MPI_BYTE and MPI_PACKED are the only elementary datatypes common to Fortran and C.
- A value of type MPI_BYTE consists of a byte (i.e. 8 bits).
- A byte is NOT interpreted and is different from a character.
- Different machines may have different representations for characters, or may use more than one byte to represent characters (UTF-8; Chinese etc.)

Datatypes convert representations, not types

- The MPI datatype specified in the send and receive calls must be the same.
- MPI Datatypes selected using the MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_* calls must have identical p and r values —- it is not enough that the selected variable has the same KIND value.
- MPI communication never entails type conversions, e.g. from INTEGER to REAL.
- If you need to convert the data, you can always do so before sending it.
- MPI communication is guaranteed to handle representation conversions in a (machine) heterogeneous environment correctly.

- The message tag can be used to distinguish various message types (like sorting interesting mail from junk).
- The tag is an integer in the range 0,...,UB, where the value of UB can be found by querying the predefined constant MPI_TAG_UB.
- The standard states that UB must be at least 32,767.
- The communicator is a handle to the group of processes involved in the communication. E.g. MPI_COMM_WORLD

- When a message posted by a send has been collected by a receive, the message is said to have completed.
- The entire envelope (dest/source, datatype, tag and communicator) must match between the send and receive for the message to complete.
- The count and the data buffer, buf, are allowed to differ

- If a message from any source is acceptable to a receiver, the wildcard source MPI_ANY_SOURCE can be used in a call to MPI_Recv.
- Similarly, the receive can specify the wildcard tag MPI_ANY_TAG to match any tag.
- Although sometimes very useful, they can lead to mistakes the programmer needs to consider whether the receive could potentially make any undesired matches with send calls.
- If a wildcard is used for the source or tag argument, their actual values can be found from the status argument.

Blocking communication

- MPI_Send and MPI_Recv are blocking calls. This means that
- MPI_Send does not return until the data in the send buffer (i.e. the variable in the user program) can be safely changed.
- Ś

 This does not necessarily mean that it's arrived at its
 destination. It may be in an internal system buffer used by MPI (especially with offload adaptors).

• MPI_Recv does not return until the receive buffer (i.e. the variable in the user program) contains all the requested data.



i.e. the complete message that was sent, which may have fewer items than the count value the receiver specified.

- When a process makes a call to MPI_Recv, it will wait patiently until a matching send is posted.
- If the matching send is never posted, the receive will wait forever
- * or, in practice, until the system crashes or some time-limit on the job is exceeded.
- Hence advice to use a 1-minute job limit when debugging this!
- This introduces a new type of bug that the programmer needs to be aware of.



Deadlocks!

My program's kernel was

MPI_Recv(receive,20,MPI_CHAR,1-id,0,MPI_COMM_WORLD,&status)
MPI_Get_count(&status,MPI_CHAR,&receive_len);

It worked at Cambridge, but just hung at Bath. 0 and 1 are standing at the doors of their offices, waiting for messengers to take envelopes.

If a messenger decides to take 0's envelope for 1
Then 0 will wait for a message from 1, which happens
And when 1's message is taken she will receive 0's message
But The messengers could sit in their room, as there are no receives pending
Depends on the MPI implementation (and length of messages ...)

Guaranteed deadlock example (C++)

```
#include <mpi.h>
extern int rank, size;
int talk_to_neighbour(char *sdat, char *rdat, int n){
  int talk_to;
  MPI_Status status;
  talk to = (rank\%2) ? rank-1 : rank+1;
  if (talk_to < size) {
    MPI_Recv(rdat, n, MPI_CHAR, talk_to, 0,
        MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
    cout<<"Proc "<<rank<<" heard"<<rdat<<" from "<<talk_to</pre>
    MPI_Send(sdat, n, MPI_CHAR, talk_to, 0,
        MPI COMM WORLD):
  }
  return;
}
```

Guaranteed deadlock example (Fortran)

```
SUBROUTINE talk_to_neighbour(rank, size, sdat, rdat, n)
USE MPI
IMPLICIT NONE
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank, size, n
CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: sdat, rdat
INTEGER :: talk_to, ierr
INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI STATUS SIZE) :: status
IF (MOD(rank, 2) == 0) THEN
  talk to = rank+1
ELSE.
  talk to = rank-1
END IF
IF (talk to < size) THEN
   CALL MPI_RECV(rdat, n, MPI_CHARACTER, talk_to, 0, &
        MPI_COMM_WORLD, status, ierr)
   WRITE(*,*) 'Proc ', rank,' heard ', rdat, ' from ', talk_to
   CALL MPI_SEND(sdat, n, MPI_CHARACTER, talk_to, 0, &
       MPI_COMM_WORLD, ierr)
END IF
```



Message Passing Interface James Davenport

Deadlock avoidance example (C++)

```
if (talk_to < size) {</pre>
    if (rank%2 == 0){
        MPI_Recv(rdat, n, MPI_CHAR, talk_to, 0,
            MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
        MPI_Send(sdat, n, MPI_CHAR, talk_to, 1,
            MPI_COMM_WORLD);
    }
    else {
        MPI_Send(sdat, n, MPI_CHAR, talk_to, 0,
           MPI COMM WORLD):
        MPI_Recv(rdat, n, MPI_CHAR, talk_to, 1,
            MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
    }
    cout<<"Proc "<<rank<<" heard"<<rdat<<" from "<<talk_to</pre>
}
```

```
. . .
IF (talk_to < size) THEN
    IF (MOD(rank, 2) == 0) THEN
        CALL MPI RECV(rdat. n. MPI CHARACTER. talk to. &
            0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, status, ierr)
        CALL MPI_SEND(sdat, n, MPI_CHARACTER, talk_to, &
            1, MPI_COMM_WORLD, ierr)
    ELSE
        CALL MPI_SEND(sdat, n, MPI_CHARACTER, talk_to, &
            O. MPI COMM WORLD. ierr)
        CALL MPI_RECV(rdat, n, MPI_CHARACTER, talk_to, &
            1, MPI_COMM_WORLD, status, ierr)
    END IF
    WRITE(*,*) 'Proc ', rank,' heard ', rdat,' from ', tall
END TF
```

• • •

Combined send and receive

- In this example, MPI_Send and MPI_Recv could be carefully ordered to avoid deadlocks. This can be difficult.
- MPI provides a very useful combined send and receive function, MPI_Sendrecv, which is "guaranteed not to deadlock".



If you read the spec. §3.10 naïvely. JHD isn't convinced (for

- If you read the spec. 30.10 here, N > 2). See [Squ09] for an example (using multiple tags) that can deadlock with N = 2.
 - This routine sends a message and posts a receive, then blocks until the send data buffer is free and the receive data buffer has received its data.
 - The analogy is the office worker with an envelope to send in one hand and a free hand ready to receive: these must both happen, but in either order.
 - Other ways to avoid deadlocks include using buffered sends and non-blocking communication, both described later

Different types of blocking send

- Standard send: MPI_Send may or may not use a system buffer according to implementation and message length
- Buffered send: MPI_Bsend message is buffered using application buffer space, supplied by the user using MPI_Buffer_attach. May complete before matching receive is posted
- Synchronous send: MPI_Ssend won't complete until a matching receive is posted and the send buffer can be re-used. Completion implies that the receive has started.
- Ready send: MPI_Rsend should only be used if the matching receive has already been posted. The programmer needs to be certain that this is the case. Rarely useful. Always correct to replace with a standard send

- Messages are dealt with in order but not necessarily fairly.
- Non-overtaking: If a sender posts two messages to the same receiver and a receive operation matches both messages, the message posted first will be chosen.
- Unfair: No matter how long a send has been pending, it can always be overtaken by a message sent from another process.

So far we have only dealt with *blocking* MPI.

Blocking return from the call indicates that resources (primarily, the variables containing the data being sent/received) can safely be re-used.

Non-blocking the call may return before the operation completes, and before the user can safely re-use the resources specified in the call.

Note that non-blocking doesn't speed up the message, rather it just lets one use the latency/overheads

Non-blocking communications

- Each type of send and receive has a non-blocking counterpart:
 - standard: MPI_Send \Rightarrow MPI_Isend
 - buffered: MPI_Bsend \Rightarrow MPI_Ibsend
 - synchronous: MPI_Ssend \Rightarrow MPI_Issend
 - ready: MPI_Rsend \Rightarrow MPI_Irsend
 - receive: $MPI_Recv \Rightarrow MPI_Irecv$
- The additional 'l' in the name stands for 'immediate' (as in immediate return).
- These calls may return before the operation has completed. You cannot safely reuse resources (such as the data buffer) until you know that it has completed.
- Need to test for completion using, for example, MPI_WAIT or MPI_TEST.
- Any type of send routine can be paired with any type of receive routine



but mixing may cause programmer confusion!

We likened MPI_Send to an office worker having to stand at the door waiting for a messenger to collect the envelope. By analogy, MPI_Isend is rather like having an out-tray: the worker puts the envelope in the out tray and carries on working. Similarly, MPI_Irecv is rather like having an in-tray: the worker puts a post-it saying "happy to receive messages about X from Y", and then the messenger can leave an envelope in the tray. *Unsolicited messages are not delivered*. We need to be able to refer to a call that's not completed

- MPI_Isend is identical to MPI_Send, except for one additional argument, request.
- Same for the variants
- MPI_Irecv does not have the status argument that MPI_recv has but does have a new argument, request.
- In both cases, the request argument returns a handle to the MPI_Isend/MPI_Irecv call and so provides a way to test whether that call has completed
- Is of type MPI_Request in C/C++ and INTEGER in Fortran.

• MPI_WAIT – waits until the call has completed.

int MPI_Wait(MPI_Request *request, MPI_Status *status); SUBROUTINE MPI_WAIT(request, status, ierror) INTEGER :: request INTEGER :: status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), ierror

• A non-blocking send immediately followed by MPI_Wait is functionally equivalent to a blocking send: it's the ability to do things between send and wait that's the difference with non-blocking

- MPI_TEST tests for completion of a call and returns straight away.

SUBROUTINE MPI_TEST(request, flag, status, ierror)

- INTEGER :: request
- LOGICAL :: flag
- INTEGER :: status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), ierror

Multiple Completions

- When a number of non-blocking messages have been posted, it is often useful to wait/test for the completion of a number of these at a time.
- MPI_Waitall/MPI_Testall waits/tests for the completion of all listed pending operations.
- MPI_Waitany/MPI_Testany waits/tests for the completion of any one of the listed pending operations, returning the index to the handle of one completed message.
- MPI_Waitsome/MPI_Testsome waits/tests for the completion of at least one of the listed pending operations, returning the indices to the handles of all completed messages.
- Ì
- "Any" can be dangerous in that you can miss completions.

Timing

C++ (double) MPI_Wtime()

Fortran DOUBLE PRECISION MPI_WTIME()



Returns number of (elapsed) seconds since a time in the past (which is guaranteed not to change during the life of the process). Standard operation:

```
old=MPI_Wtime();
<code>
t=MPI_Wtime();
std.cout << "time taken" << t-old <<"seconds";
This is "per process": we'll see how to add them up.
```

```
<initialise>
base=MPI_Wtime();
vector<double> timestamps(size);
if (rank==0) {
    timestamps[0]=MPI_Wtime()-base;
    MPI_Send(...)
    MPI_Recv(...) }
else {
    MPI_Recv(...)
    timestamps[rank]=MPI_Wtime()-base;
    MPI_Send(...); }
```

MPI provides a mechanism for user-defined data types, analogous to struct in C or F90 derived data types.

- but they needn't be contiguous in memory, that is to say that
 - MPI_Send can implicitly do a "gather", and MPI_Recv a "scatter".
 - MPI "normally" deals with the rounding rules, so a double followed by 3 char would actually have size 16 to align properly.
 - There's a lot, and this talk is just scratching the surface.

This makes a new type which is count copies of an existing type, which are contiguous in memory (the easy case!)

int MPI_Type_contiguous(int count, MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)

MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(COUNT, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR) INTEGER COUNT, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR

Since there are count fields in MPI_Send and MPI_Recv, this is only needed as part of more general constructions.

Strided Vector Types

e.g. "two doubles, then skip 4, then two more ... with a total of 10 lots, i.e. 20 doubles"

int MPI_Type_vector(int count, int blocklength, int stride
MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)

MPI_TYPE_VECTOR(COUNT, BLOCKLENGTH, STRIDE, OLDTYPE, NEWTY INTEGER COUNT, BLOCKLENGTH, STRIDE, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERRO

So we would have

MPI_Type_vector(10,2,2+4,MPI_DOUBLE,&my_type)



Note the "2+4": MPI wants the stride, i.e. the distance between successive block starts.

- ! stride can be negative, and -1 does an array backwards
- MPI_Type_create_hvector takes the stride in bytes (portability warning!)

Indexed Vector Types

e.g. "3 doubles starting 4 in, then 1 double starting 0 in"

int MPI_Type_indexed(int count, const int array_of_blockles const int array_of_displacements[], MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)

MPI_TYPE_INDEXED(COUNT, ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS, ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR) INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS(*), ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*), OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR)

So (in pseudo-code)

MPI_Type_indexed(2,[3,1],[4,0],MPI_DOUBLE,&new_type);

- Note the count is the number of blocks, not items.
- MPI_Type_create_hindexed has the displacements (only) in bytes.
 - MPI_Type_create_indexed_block has one constant block length

Structured Vector Types

As above, but each block can be of a different data type. e.g. "3 doubles starting 64 bytes in, then 7 char starting 0 in"

```
int MPI_Type_create_struct(int count,
const int array_of_blocklengths[],
const MPI_Aint array_of_displacements[],
const MPI_Datatype array_of_types[], MPI_Datatype *newtype)
```

MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(COUNT, ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS, ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS, ARRAY_OF_TYPES, NEWTYPE, IERROR) INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS(*), ARRAY_OF_TYPES(*) NEWTYPE, IERROR

INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*)

So (in pseudo-code)

MPI_Type_indexed(2,[3,7],[64,0],[MPI_DOUBLE,MPI_CHAR],&new_

"A $2\times3\times4$ subarray of a $20\times30\times40$ C array, starting at (5, 6, 7)"

int MPI_Type_create_subarray(int ndims, const int array_of_sizes[],const int array_of_subsizes[], const int array_of_starts[],int order, MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)

MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY(NDIMS, ARRAY_OF_SIZES, ARRAY_OF_SI ARRAY_OF_STARTS, ORDER, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR) INTEGER NDIMS, ARRAY_OF_SIZES(*), ARRAY_OF_SUBSIZES(*), ARRAY_OF_STARTS(*), ORDER, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR

So (in pseudo-code)



Fortran users need to subtract 1 from the starts

Collective Communication

- A communication involving a group of processes is called collective.
- The group of processes involved is defined by the communicator used in the call.
- All collective calls must be made by every process in the group associated with the communicator.



- It may be important that all processes have finished their part of a task before any process proceeds or that all processes begin work at the same time.
- The routine MPI_Barrier is used to synchronize a group of processes. No data are transferred.

int MPI_Barrier(MPI_comm comm);

```
SUBROUTINE MPI_BARRIER(COMM, IERROR)
INTEGER :: COMM, IERROR
```

- A call to MPI_Barrier does not return until all processes associated with the communicator comm have called it.
- If they don't, then execution will deadlock.
- It is the programmer's responsibility to make sure they do.

MPI_Barrier



Broadcast Illustrated



Data held in the data buffer on process root is copied into the data buffers on all processes in the group associated with comm.
Broadcasting data

- MPI_Bcast copies data from a specified root process to all processes in a group.
- As with all collective calls, this must be called by every process associated with the communicator.

INTEGER :: COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERR

```
include <mpi.h>
include "mouse.h"
extern int size, rank;
```

```
int get_calc_type(window_t * w){
    int calc_type;
```

```
if (rank == 0)
get_mouse_event(w,&calc_type);
```

```
MPI_Bcast(&calc_type, 1, MPI_INT, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
return calc_type;
```

```
}
```

```
SUBROUTINE get_calc_type(rank, size, w, calc_type)
IMPLICIT NONE
INCLUDE "mpif.h"
INCLUDE "mouse.h"
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank, size
INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: calc_type
TYPE (WINDOW_T), INTENT(IN) :: w
INTEGER :: ierr
```

IF (rank == 0) CALL get_mouse_event(w, calc_type)

CALL MPI_BCAST(calc_type, 1, MPI_INTEGER, 0, & MPI_COMM_WORLD, ierr)

RETURN END SUBROUTINE get_calc_type

Gathering data from processes

When each process has computed some data that needs to be gathered to give the final result, the routine MPI Gather can be used.



SUBROUTINE MPI_GATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, &
 RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
<sendtype> :: SENDBUF(*)
<recvtype> :: RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER :: SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE
INTEGER :: RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE
INTEGER :: ROOT, COMM, IERROR

MPI_Gather

- After a call to MPI_Gather, recvbuf on process root contains the data from each sendbuf in rank order
- includes the data from its own sendbuf.
- $\langle \mathbf{\hat{z}} \rangle$
- Note that recvcount is the amount of data expected to be received from each process, not the total amount.
 - MPI_Gatherv has an array of recvcount so you can work out what has been received
 - If every process, rather than a single root process, requires the gathered data, then use MPI_Allgather.
 - This is functionally equivalent to an MPI_Gather followed by an MPI_Bcast.
 - There's also a converse MPI_Scatter.

Reduction

- Suppose each process has computed x_i , and what we want is $X = \sum_{i=0}^{size-1} x_i$.
- This is reduction in MPI-speak.
- Can be done element-by-element on arrays
- Needn't be + [but must be mathematically associative order of evaluation doesn't matter]
- The order in which the reduction is done is unspecified, so the result is guaranteed to be the same only to within the accuracy of round-off errors (see Arithmetic lecture)

There are two standard routines for performing global reduction operations.

- MPI_Reduce performs the reduction and returns the result to a specific process.
- MPI_Allreduce performs the reduction and returns the result to all the processes associated with the communicator.
- + Equivalent to MPI_Reduce followed by MPI_Bcast.

MPI_Reduce operations: type MPI_Op

Operator	Meaning	С	FORTRAN
MPI_MAX	Maximum		MAX(a1,a2)
MPI_MIN	Minimum		MIN(a1,a2)
MPI_SUM	Sum	+	+
MPI_PROD	Product	*	*
MPI_LAND	Logical and	&&	.AND.
MPI_BAND	Bitwise and	&	
MPI_LOR	Logical or	П	.OR.
MPI_BOR	Bitwise or	I	
MPI_LXOR	Logical xor	!=	.NEQV.
MPI_BXOR	Bitwise xor	^	

Note that all operators are usable from all languages, even if there's no language equivalent

```
int MPI_Reduce(void *sendbuf, void *recvbuf,
int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,
MPI_Op op, int root, MPI_Comm comm);
```

```
int MPI_Allreduce(void *sendbuf,
void *recvbuf, int count,
MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op,
MPI_Comm comm);
```

SUBROUTINE MPI_ALLREDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR) <type> :: SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) INTEGER :: COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR

Note no root argument to MPI_Alleduce.

- There are also two MPI reduction routines where the result of the reduction operation is scattered amongst all the processes in the group.
- MPI_Reduce_scatter_block is functionally equivalent to an MPI_Reduce followed by an MPI_Scatter.
- MPI_Reduce_scatter is functionally equivalent to an MPI_Reduce followed by an MPI_Scatterv.
- Direct implementations may run faster than calling the reduce and scatter separately.

All these collective operations are blocking (as if broken down into Send/Recv primitives) There are also non-blocking versions MPI_Ireduce etc., with Test/Wait needed as necessary. Non-blocking Barrier might seem a bit odd!

Barrier Illustrated

MPI_Barrier



Ibarrier Illustrated



Here five processes can do varying amounts of useful work at calling MPI_Ibarrier

Formally, the fact that each process has a rank is sufficient: this lets us define any structure we want. But

- Inefficient (and possibly error-prone)
- We don't tell MPI what we want to do.

We want to define the *virtual topology* of our tasks (say a 3D grid) and let MPI map this to the *real topology* of the hardware (which isn't known until run-time and the allocated nodes are known). All topologies can be defined by a graph, but in practice many are Cartesian, and specifying the full graph is tedious. General graph topologies are specified by MPI_Graph_create, or MPI_Dist_graph_create_adjacent if each node only knows its neighbours, rather than the full topology.

A 2D Cartesian topology

Here, periodicity is set to true so the process with the highest coordinate has the process with the lowest co-ordinate above it, etc.



Process Coordinates

- Process coordinates within a Cartesian topology are numbered the same way in Fortran and C.
- Coordinates are numbered from 0.
- Row-major numbering is always used.
- For example, for 6 processes in a 2×3 grid:

Rank=0	Rank=1	Rank=2
Coord=(0,0)	Coord=(0,1)	Coord=(0,2)
Rank=3	Rank=4	Rank=5
Coord=(1,0)	Coord=(1,1)	Coord=(1,2)

Takes the old communicator comm_old and builds a Cartesian communicator.

int MPI_Cart_create(MPI_Comm comm_old, int ndims, const in const int periods[], int reorder, MPI_Comm *comm_cart)

MPI_CART_CREATE(COMM_OLD, NDIMS, DIMS, PERIODS, REORDER, CO INTEGER COMM_OLD, NDIMS, DIMS(*), COMM_CART, IERROR LOGICAL PERIODS(*), REORDER

- reorder says whether the ranks in the new communicator can be different.
- periods says (for each dimension separately) whether it's periodic



processes that don't fit get MPI_COMM_NULL as the new communicator

Topology-aware MPI code checklist

- Include the appropriate header file or module
- Initialise the MPI environment using MPI_Init
- Initialise the topology, with reorder true
- Each MPI process must find out the total number of processes using MPI_Comm_size
- Each MPI process must find out its own unique rank using MPI_Comm_rank
 - * Now we can do the actual work
- Shutdown the MPI environment using MPI_Finalize

We specify the direction ($0 \leq direction < ndims$, even in Fortran) and a displacement (generally 1)

int MPI_Cart_shift(MPI_Comm comm, int direction, int disp, int *rank_source, int *rank_dest)

- We get back the ranks of the source and destination for a shift of that type.
- MPI_PROC_NULL if it doesn't exist: this is legitimate as a source or destination (always giving 0 objects)

Shifting Data Example - C

```
int up, down, left, right;
double mydata, updata, downdata, leftdata, rightdata;
/* Create a 2D grid, as in the earlier example. */
/* Find the ranks of the 4 neighbouring processes */
MPI Cart shift(comm cart, 0, 1, &up, &down);
MPI Cart shift(comm cart, 1, 1, &left, &right);
/* Shift mydata to the right neighbour */
MPI Sendrecv(&mydata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, right, 0, &leftdata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, left,
   0, comm cart, &status);
/* Shift mydata to the left neighbour */
MPI Sendrecv(&mydata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, left, 0, &rightdata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, right,
   0, comm cart, &status);
/* Shift mydata up one */
MPI Sendrecv(&mydata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, up, 0, &downdata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, down, 0,
   comm cart, &status);
/* Shift mydata down one */
MPI Sendrecv(&mydata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, down, 0, &updata, 1, MPI DOUBLE, up, 0,
   comm_cart, &status);
```

Some of these generalise to topologies, and provide a function I can't mimic without topologies.

int MPI_Neighbor_allgather(const void* sendbuf, int sendcom MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm)

MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT,RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR) <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, IEI

The send buffer is sent to each neighboring process and the *I*-th block in the receive buffer is received from the *I*-th neighbor. Also allgatherv version, non-blocking versions, and a MPI_Neighbor_alltoall where different items can be sent to different neighbours. L. Anton, M. Ashworth, X. Guo, S. Pickles, A. Porter, and A. Sunderland.
 Exploiting multi-core processors for scientific applications using hybrid MPI-OpenMP.

Technical Report DL-TR-2015-002, Daresbury Labs, 2015.

Yuichiro Ajima, Takahiro Kawashima, Takayuki Okamoto, Naoyuki Shida, Kouichi Hirai, Toshiyuki Shimizu, Shinya Hiramoto, Yoshiro Ikeda, Takahide Yoshikawa, Kenji Uchida, et al.

The Tofu Interconnect D.

In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing (CLUSTER), pages 646–654. IEEE, 2018.

Bibliography II



M. Allalen.

Best Practice Guide - Modern Interconnects. http://www.prace-ri.eu/IMG/pdf/ Best-Practice-Guide-Modern-Interconnects.pdf, 2019.

M. Ashworth.

Performance of Coarray Fortran vs MPI in a CFD Application. https://www.softwareoutlook.ac.uk/sites/www. softwareoutlook.ac.uk/files/Ashworth_Poster_ PGAS14_080ct14.pdf, 2014.

 J. Bachan, S.B. Baden, S. Hofmeyr, M. Jacquelin, A. Kamil, D. Bonachea, P.H. Hargrove, and H. Ahmed.
 UPC++: A High-Performance Communication Framework for Asynchronous Computation.
 In 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS), pages 963–973, 2019. Message Passing Interface Forum. MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard Version 3.1. http: //mpi-forum.org/docs/mpi-3.1/mpi31-report.pdf, 2015.

J. Squyres.

SEND, ISEND, or SENDRECV...?

https://web.archive.org/web/20160316101539/http: //blogs.cisco.com/performance/send_isend_or_ sendrecv. 2009.